K-12 NATIONAL TESTING ACTION PROGRAM (NTAP)

Connecting schools with the nation's leading testing companies to safely reopen



TABLE OF CONTENTS

 \dot{C}

Introduction and current K-12 landscape	7
Successful programs: testing works to keep schools safer	12
K-12 National Testing Action Program: overview	24
K-12 National Testing Action Program: logistics	39
Appendix and references	53



CONTEXT

The K-12 National Testing Action Program (NTAP) is a plan to provide free Covid-19 testing for K-12 public schools to enable safe in-person learning



- Due to Covid-19, a majority of US K-12 public schools are operating remotely or in hybrid learning
- Online learning is not an adequate replacement for in-person school and is creating large education and socialization gaps

Complication

- Teachers, students and communities may fear the spread of Covid-19 in schools
- Schools are not fully equipped to provide necessary mitigation measures including testing
- While testing capacity exists, labs do not have a clear signal on how to make capacity readily available to schools
- The value of testing is getting lost amid the focus on vaccination

Question

- How do we safely and sustainably re-open the nation's K-12 public schools as quickly as possible?
- How do we make testing free, easy and widely available for schools?

Answer

- 1. Implementation of full safety and mitigation activities
- 2. Prioritized vaccination for teachers and staff
- 3. Weekly testing for students, teachers and staff



K-12 NATIONAL TESTING ACTION PROGRAM (NTAP) SUMMARY (1 OF 2)

The school changes stemming from the Covid-19 pandemic have significant impacts:

- Student learning, as well as mental and physical health, particularly for underserved populations
- Parent economic security, particularly for women
- Teacher well-being, including where they focus their money, time, and mental health
- The national economy, including billions of dollars of projected losses

K-12 testing programs can help reopen schools:

- Testing programs work to quickly identify positive cases for isolation, keeping school infection rates well below their local community. Schools are the safest place for kids and adults and are safer than the grocery store
- Logistics are feasible for public schools, both large and small. Testing protocols become second nature within weeks
- Testing dramatically increases confidence in re-opening for teachers and parents. We have seen confidence grow from about 20% to over 80%
- Federal actions provide funding & demonstrate the importance of testing as the "missing link" to re-open schools and get all students back for in-person learning

Re-opening schools safely this spring requires:

- 1. Safety and mitigation measures (masking, distancing, cohorting, etc.)
- 2. Teacher and staff vaccination
- 3. Regular and reliable testing of students and adults



K-12 NATIONAL TESTING ACTION PROGRAM (NTAP) SUMMARY (2 OF 2)

Testing protocols are critical to program success:

- Step 1 PCR 'pod pooling' or antigen tests with either anterior nasal swabs or saliva
 - Screening testing frequency is often once per week for students and up to twice per week for teachers and staff
- Step 2 Follow-up diagnostic PCR or rapid antigen testing for individuals in positive pools
- Optional but recommended Step 0 Test all participants as program begins

NTAP implementation and logistics requires diligent planning and partnership:

- Labs provide lab testing and logistics (including information systems) to schools and parents
 - Capacity for schools must be guaranteed and must not be reduced
 - School testing capacity must not reduce testing capacity or increase Turn Around Time for the general public
- All results must be returned to schools and test takers in 24 hours or fewer
- Costs for tests and additional test-related costs at each school are reimbursed by the state from their allocation of the \$10 billion federal allocation of the American Rescue Plan Act for school testing

K-12 NTAP compilation team includes:

- Representatives from the nation's largest labs and test manufacturers with deep experience in Covid-19 testing for schools
- Representatives from the world's largest lab supply manufacturers with deep experience in manufacturing and distribution
- Representatives from information service providers
- In addition, the team met with school superintendents, principals, teachers and parents to inform the plan ROCKEFELLER HEALTH CATALYSTS GROUP

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS





INTRODUCTION AND CURRENT K-12 LANDSCAPE



IMPACT OF SCHOOL CHANGES ON STUDENTS

Impact on learning:

- **25% decrease** in **post-educational learning potential** among ninth graders in poorest communities
- Returning students expected to have only 63-68% and 37-50% annual learning gains in reading and math respectively

Food insecurity and mental health:

- 169.6M school meals missed weekly impacting student nutrition & food security
- 24% increase in emergency department visits related to mental health for children aged 5-11 and 31% increase among adolescents aged 12-17





IMPACT OF SCHOOL CHANGES ON PARENTS



70% of working parents

do not have access to regular caregivers, and their return to work is dependent on in-person schooling for their children



4 in 10 parents

say they have less job security due to the pandemic and fear being penalized because they have childcare responsibilities

4x more women

compared to men left the workforce as the new school year began due to students at home



IMPACT OF SCHOOL CHANGES ON TEACHERS



3 in 4 teachers

are working more hours during Covid19 than before; of these, nearly one in
5 were working more than 15 hours
more per week during Covid-19



3 in 4 teachers

report their school or district does not provide adequate access to counselors and mental health support for both students and teachers



9 in 10 teachers

felt their expertise was not appropriately tapped by decisionmakers in the transition to distance and hybrid learning; nearly half of these expert teachers said their expertise was not tapped at all



1 in 3 teachers

report spending more out-ofpocket money teaching under Covid-19 than before; onequarter are spending more than \$500 more than before



IMPACT OF SCHOOL CHANGES ON ECONOMY



\$2.5 trillion

estimated cost to the U.S. in future earnings of four months of lost education – equivalent to 12.7% annual GDP



\$350 billion

of lost revenue and growth could be reversed with the opening of schools, according to some estimates



13.8 million

Years of Life Lost (YLL) may be associated with the school closures during the Covid-19 pandemic



\$64.5 billion per year

in lost wages and economic activity from women leaving the labor force and/or reducing working hours to assume caretaking responsibilities



SUCCESSFUL PROGRAMS: TESTING WORKS TO KEEP SCHOOLS SAFER



REGULAR TESTING IN SCHOOLS CAN REDUCE INFECTION

Evidence from Mathematica and RAND Corporation, supported by The Rockefeller Foundation, found that weekly testing of all students, teachers and staff can reduce in-school infections by an estimated 50%



Cumulative COVID-19 infections among students and staff in high schools

FOUNDATION HEALTH CATALYSTS GROUP 13

SCHOOL PREVALENCE RATES ARE 10X LOWER THAN COMMUNITY RATES

Aggregate data across multiple schools and their contiguous communities shows **average school positivity is 0.25%** to 0.5% while surrounding community positivity is ~ 7.23%*



CDC and others support a return to in-person schooling, citing low prevalence rate in schools as a key part of the justification

Disclaimer: It is important to note that community testing is an opt-in process, and the actual community positivity may be different *Calculated by aggregating data collected by Ginkgo, CiC Health and JCM Analytics



TESTING BRINGS STUDENT, PARENT AND TEACHER CONFIDENCE

"I feel more safe now knowing solid facts about who has it and that the people who have it are not at school. So, it's keeping everything safer." - Parent

Participants strongly supported the use of testing to confidently return to in-person learning



UNITEDHEALTH GROUP

SURVEY RESULTS (% agree/strongly agree)	Parents	Students	Staff
Testing students, staff and teachers on a regular basis is important to ensure that school can remain open and the WIS community can be as safe as possible	91.8	95.1	92.6
Post-launch: I am open to being part of a pooled testing protocol once or twice a week, with an individual confirmatory test required if the pool is positive	90.3	93.4	98.8
I feel that students or teachers who refuse to be tested individually or as part of a pool on a frequent basis should not be allowed to attend in person classes	80.4	83.13	74.1

Baseline testing increased confidence of safety of in-person learning

Reported Confidence in Wellesley Public Schools





SUCCESSFUL K-12 TESTING: MASSACHUSETTS SCHOOLS (1 OF 2)

Program overview:

- Weekly testing for every classroom across the state (900K+ students)
- Students and staff **self-swab** with a lower nasal swab ٠
- 10+ swabs pooled together and run using an accurate molecular test
- Samples processes at local and regional labs
- **Follow-up testing** for individuals in positive pools using • Abbot BinaxNOW

THE Massachusetts Program



The Boston Blobe

James Vaines Globe Staff, Updated January 8, 2021, 113 p.m.

Baker announces coronavirus pool testing to be made available to all Massachusetts public schools



Staff Level of Reassurance After **Results of Baseline Testing**



Initial data:

154 school districts have rolled out testing







~13,000 tests in first week of testing

Boston Herald

Massachusetts teachers unions laud Charlie Baker's new coronavirus pool testing program for schools

(f)))

WELLESLEY PUBLIC SCHOOLS

Learning • Caring • Innovating



Sources: Boston Globe, Boston Herald, WPS Viral Testing Information, Mass. DoE

SUCCESSFUL K-12 TESTING: MASSACHUSETTS SCHOOLS (2 OF 2)

Pooled testing in Massachusetts, a collaborative effort between the Massachusetts Department of Public Health and the Department of Elementary and Secondary Education with implementation support from the Shah Family Foundation, is the **first statewide pooled testing effort to offer weekly testing to all students, teachers and staff in public schools**. The program launched in January and schools are already testing students

Pooled testing offering:

Operationalizing school testing:

- The tests are front-end or onsite pooling of swabs where roughly 10 swabs are put into one tube and sent to the lab for less than \$5/swab
- Rapid antigen tests are provided free to schools to do follow-up tests of positive pools
- Vendors also provide individual PCR tests for follow-up testing for \$26.50-\$85
- The state is paying for the first phase of the program through March 28 for any interested district. To continue programs, districts may use other federal funds

 Most schools are administering the program themselves using nurses and other school staff to administer program and observe tests

• Some larger school districts are contracting with ambulance companies or bringing in nursing students to help with program administration



- More districts, including more urban districts, are **returning to inperson learning with testing**
- Early data indicate that **positivity rates in schools are lower than community rates**
- Pooled testing is more doable & manageable than some might realize – it is very possible to implement the initiative within all kinds of districts
- It's essential for the state to establish critical components of this initiative – including funding, a state contract list, and regulatory enablement – but districts need to have the ability to adapt the model to reflect the strengths & challenges of local contexts
- It's very important to **aggregate resources**, examples and tools to streamline the process for everyone (e.g., common consent form in multiple languages, Covid Ed Testing website)
- High quality parent engagement is crucial
- It is vital to have good vendor and inter-governmental partners



SUCCESSFUL K-12 TESTING: NYC PUBLIC SCHOOLS





SCHOOLS

Current status:

All students and staff tested weekly



Over 605 samples from students and staff tested each event

7.3 people in the average pool



UNITEDHEALTH GROUP

2 positive pools (1 faculty, 1 kindergarten) to date

- **Pooling in a pod is the most cost-effective** and sustainable method for testing
- Staff and older students can self-swab
- Transparent communication to parents, staff and students about importance of testing (in multiple languages) is critical
- Pooling-specific, cloud-based information management system should be used to effectively track data
- Clear **standard operating procedures** and setting up a governance structure is critical for success
- A **list of FAQs** should be created and updated as necessary to address staff and family concerns



SUCCESSFUL K-12 TESTING: BALTIMORE CITY SCHOOLS

Baltimore City Schools have been utilizing weekly testing with different systems for elementary, middle and high schools



CBS Baltimore

Baltimore City Schools To Offer Weekly COVID-19 Testing For Students, Staff

By Kelsey Kushner February 24, 2021 at 11:15 pm Filed Under: Baltimore. Baltimore City Public Schools, Baltimore News, Coronavirus Outbreak In Maryland: WJZ Complete Coverage, COVID-19, L

FOX(5) NEWS

Baltimore City Schools re-open with voluntary inperson learning

by Rachel Aragon | Monday, March 1st 2021

Current status:

- **~10K** students and staff tested
- **750+** pools
- 78 schools (soon to be 110)

Elementary and middle schools:

- Students and staff self-collect with lower nasal swabs
- 5-25 individuals pooled together
- Samples processed at local or regional lab
- Results ~24 hours from when samples arrive at the lab
- If a positive result, classrooms will quarantine for 2 weeks and follow up with individual PCR tests

Common characteristics:

- Weekly testing
- Parent consent is required for inperson learning, which includes Covid-19 screening/testing

High schools:

- Students and staff self-collect individual saliva samples
- Samples processed at a mobile lab in DC
- Results ~8 hrs from when samples arrive at the lab
- Individuals and close contacts will quarantine for 2 weeks if a positive result



Sources: Concentric by Ginkgo, CBS Baltimore, Fox 5 News

SUCCESSFUL K-12 TESTING: DELAWARE PUBLIC SCHOOLS

School testing in Delaware, a collaborative effort between the Delaware Health and Social Services and Department of Education, has successfully demonstrated a scalable, in-person, low-resource program utilizing BD antigen tests. This program started in a handful of public charter schools and has guickly expanded across the state with buy-in from parents, staff and administrators

Positive cases have been identified

without impacting school opening

Schools see parents switching back

from virtual to in-person education



Current status:

75+ public schools participating

33% of schools in Delaware

Return to school:

5,000+ students and staff tested

Easy to implement:

- Flexible program implemented by school staff. Estimated need for 2-3 FTE / 1,000 people
- Automated results reporting ٠ expected to simplify workflow further







- Prioritizing communication to all stakeholders throughout the process is key
- Students can be introduced to swabbing in a drivethrough environment with parents nearby
- Focusing on logistics is crucial. Walk-up service may work well for older students, while classroom service may fit for cohorted and youngest students
- Self-swabbing under observation with oldest students improves throughput and logistics
- Clear guidance on obtaining **consent** and addressing legal requirements early is critical
- Continuous **feedback** from all stakeholders can make the program sustainable
- Objective assessment of test results minimizes staff confusion and improve logistics
- **Reporting and documentation** is a significant resource challenge. Automating reporting may save 2 FTE time





SUCCESSFUL K-12 TESTING: NATIONWIDE PILOT WITH PCR POOLING

Current status of Concentric by Gingko's pilot of **pooled testing** in over 130 schools across 11 states:

- <15 minutes to run a full-classroom collection
- 1000+ classrooms tested
- 10,000+ students and educators tested
- **32** positive individuals identified and isolated to reduce transmission



Kids feel empowered to self-swab, help their community, and teach others

Swabs go **"Boogers down".**

You only have to go up to **the squishy part of your nose**.

PARK STREET SCHOOL

We have a jingle - "1, 2, 3, 4 - switch it over, do 4 more"

SHARON PUBLIC SCHOOLS

It's a **free chance to pick your nose**, and no one is going to yell at you. SHARON PUBLIC SCHOOLS

- Clear communication increases confidence among students, parents, teachers and administrators
- Streamlined and human-readable consent forms are vital for ensuring parent buy-in
- Build infrastructure (including registration portals) that can scale
- Streamlining school onboarding virtually (via a website and videos) enables rapid scale
- Minimized disruption to classroom is needed for adoption (<15 minutes and self-collection)
- Pod-pooling approach can work in a wide range of schools (multiple states, low-income areas, minority communities, etc.)



SUCCESSFUL K-12 TESTING: CROSS-CITY LEARNING GROUP PILOT SITES

The Cross-City Learning Group was formed through The Rockefeller Foundation's partnerships with HHS, Duke-Margolis Center for Health Policy, Johns Hopkins University, Mathematica and six cities/states willing to pilot testing programs



- Engaging early with district administrators and local partners can help gain their buy-in and support for identifying resources
- Clear communications, delivered by trusted leaders in the community, are needed to build community members' understanding of the program and encourage participation
- The testing approach should be designed using both evidencebased guidance and on-theground knowledge of what will be acceptable to students, parents, teachers and staff



Source: The Rockefeller Foundation, Mathematica

K-12 NATIONAL TESTING ACTION PROGRAM: OVERVIEW



WHAT IS THE VISION: K-12 NATIONAL TESTING ACTION PROGRAM

Testing is the missing link to K-12 schools reopening safely in-person this spring

Thus, the K-12 National Testing Action Program focuses on:

- A. Free and easy testing for schools, kids and parents
- B. No reduction of **testing availability** anywhere in the country
- C. Public and private **resources mobilized** and coordinated for sustainable capacity
- D. A maximum **24-hour turnaround time** for results
- E. Simple procurement, funding and implementation processes, including results reporting
- F. Including the ability to **adapt and improve**, keeping pace with scientific advances



HOW DOES IT WORK: NATIONAL, REGIONAL AND LOCAL COLLABORATION

The K-12 National Testing Action Program is designed to be **federally guided**, **state/regionally coordinated**, and **locally implemented**, all with the aim to **support the safe reopening of 100% of K-12 schools**



K-12 NTAP will provide enough support to test:

- 100% of willing students weekly (per appropriate consent/assent)
- 100% of willing teachers and all staff up to twice weekly

"Everything should be made as simple as possible but no simpler" – Albert Einstein



KEY REQUIREMENTS FOR SUCCESSFUL K-12 TESTING

Key requirements for successful testing programs

Easy

Testing an entire classroom should take minimal time (e.g., ~12 minutes). Testing must be easy for students as young as kindergarten to do. Logistics should be streamlined and should not burden school staff

Effective

Testing should be accurate and give school communities data that provides them with confidence to maintain in-person learning

Accessible

Testing should be easy to access for every school and should be easily scalable to cover entire school districts

Affordable

The cost of testing should allow for every student to be tested every week. Federal funding may be leveraged to cover costs



HOW TO CHOOSE A TEST: STEPS IN THE TESTING PROTOCOL

A strong testing protocol consists of three steps:

Step 0

Initiation testing (optional, if possible) When program begins – especially for schools in high prevalence areas – all students, teachers and staff should be tested individually or in small pods before regular weekly testing begins*

Step 1

Asymptomatic screening

High-quality 'pod-pooled' PCR tests or antigen tests administered weekly to students and twice-weekly to teachers and staff

Step 2

Positive pool follow-up testing as required

For individuals in positive pools, provide individual PCR or antigen diagnostic tests – via at-home, onsite, clinic, lab or pharmacy testing

*While Step 0 is not discussed in further detail here, it is possible to leverage similar testing strategies to those described for steps 1 and 2. The purpose to Step) is to identify infected individuals before regular testing begins, which will make it easier to identify new cases moving forward



HOW TO CHOOSE A TEST: ASYMPTOMATIC SCREENING OPTIONS (STEP 1)



Multiple testing technologies and systems will be needed to collectively meet school demand and diversify the supply chain. Common types of testing include:

Pooled PCR testing is recommended as the preferred option for routine screening testing. Samples are collected at the school and sent to a lab for processing. Data management handled by test provider

Individual rapid antigen testing is recommended for routine asymptomatic testing of parts of a population or for diagnostic testing. Samples are collected at the school and tested on-site. Data management is handled by provider, in-state or vendor-provided portals. Individual testing for screening can be advantageous if the number of cases is high in a school



HOW TO CHOOSE A TEST: FOLLOW-UP TESTING OPTIONS (STEP 2)

Step 2 testing options:



Follow-up test:

- Follow up with school's test provider to deconvolute PCR pool directly with pre-existing or new nasal swab or saliva sample
- Rapid antigen test
- **Pre-paid coupon:** Can be redeemed at a local retail pharmacy, clinic, testing lab or hospital to obtain proof of negative result to return to school



At-home kit: Can be used to self-collect a nasal swab or saliva sample and ship it back to a lab for testing. A prepaid shipping label will be provided

Other sources: Collaboration with local Public Health Lab or university or other community labs may be used to get a confirmatory test using a pre-paid coupon

Benefits of the K-12 NTAP program

- Provides schools and families the **flexibility** to choose the right test option and location for them
- ✓ Improves equity and access to confirmatory testing
- Quickly allows students to get the confirmatory results required to return to school
- Optimizes use of local testing resources based on availability and preference
- No cost to families or schools



PCR POOLING: THE EVOLUTION OF PCR TESTING

The path from individual to pooled testing: what we've learned

Colleges and universities demonstrate effectiveness of testing, infection control, and isolation measures by creating subcommunities with lower Covid-19 prevalence and test positivity rates than the surrounding communities Tufts University implemented a **regimented individual PCR testing strategy** in partnership with the Broad Institute to obtain community prevalence data across campus sites in multiple municipalities

High costs limit the accessibility of repeated individual PCR testing for K-12 communities Tufts University designed a study of pooled testing to evaluate the specificity and sensitivity of a pooled assay, which provides great confidence in identifying all positive individuals using pooling. Pooling becomes more popular in K-12 schools

While sample dilution was an upfront concern for pooling, as it could result in false negatives, pilot results from 1576 individuals (students, staff, faculty, etc.) showed 100% congruence between pooled and individual results, no false negative results, and slightly elevated CT-values









Data Sources: COVID-19 Data provided by the Bureau of Infectious Disease and Laboratory Sciences; Tables & Figures created by the Office of Population Health. Note: All data are current as of 11:59 PM on 1/2/21. Due to lag in reporting by labs, counts for most recent dates are likely to be incomplete. This includes individuals who have had more than one molecular test

Ct results comparing pool Cts (y-axis) with the Ct value of the corresponding single positive sample (x-axis). Each graph represents a different probe; N1 and N2 detect regions of SARS-CoV-2 virus RNA while RP represents host genetic material abundance. Blue line: trendline of data; grey line: y=x bisector.



PCR POOLING: HOW IT WORKS

Classroom pooling

All students and teachers in a pod **swab their noses** with short swabs (think: cotton swabs). Swabs are placed in one tube (this is the "pooling" step). This pool is then run as a single test at a local lab.

Key benefit: groups of 25 people can be tested using one test. For a school of 500, only 20 tubes must be collected and managed, instead of 500 when testing individually.

Only positive pools result in further action. Next steps are decided by the school.

STEP 1 - Pods self-swab

Individuals in a pod (e.g., classroom) self-swab and place swabs into a single tube. Takes minutes to complete for an entire pod

STEP 2 - Test the pools

Each tube is then run using one test at a local lab, and the classroom is provided with one result

STEP 3 - Get results

Results can be used by schools to make more informed decisions, detect the virus earlier, and mitigate spread POOL #0001 Test Result:

Negative



PCR POOLING: TESTING OPTIONS FOLLOWING A POSITIVE POOL

What happens if there's a positive pool?

The solution will look a little different for each school based on unique circumstances. However, K-12 NTAP recommends all follow-up testing be fully funded to ensure equitable access

VID-19 Ag

₩3

Approaches for follow-up testing:

School-based testing (preferred option)

Government-funded rapid antigen tests or on-site collection for lab-based nasal or saliva tests administered at school

Pre-paid coupon

Government-funded coupon redeemable at local pharmacies, clinics, testing labs or hospitals to obtain proof of negative result to return to school

At-home testing

Government-funded self-collected nasal or saliva sample sent to labs for testing using pre-paid shipping

Community-based testing

Government-funded tests administered at pharmacies, clinics, doctor's offices, community centers or other publicly accessible sites



PCR POOLING: SENSITIVITY

Studies comparing the sensitivity of pooled versus individual tests confirm that PCR pooling is more than sufficient for asymptomatic screening tests and has similar sensitivity to individual testing



A study conducted by *The Broad Institute* found comparable levels of detection when samples are pooled or individually tested

Results show that the sensitivity was comparable for pooled and individual/single samples

Notes on the graph:

- 10 samples were pooled together to compare sensitivity N1 and N2 samples look for Covid-19 virus genome and RP samples look for human genome (control)
- A lower Ct value means a higher amount of virus genome is present
- The RP Ct is significantly lower in the pool as expected since human genomic material is present on both positive and negative swabs

Pooled vs individual testing sensitivity



UNITEDHEALTH GROUP

A study by the *UnitedHealth Group* showed pooled testing had comparable performance to individual PCR testing

Results show that up to 15 samples could be pooled together to reduce costs and supplies while maintaining accuracy of results



RAPID ANTIGEN: HOW IT WORKS

Rapid antigen testing

Students and teachers have their noses swabbed with short swabs. Each swab is applied to a test card or cassette and allowed to run for the appropriate amount of time.

Each person tested has their own test. For a school of 500, a total of 500 tests are needed to test everyone.

Once the necessary time has elapsed, **trained staff interpret and record each result**. Positive results are communicated to the individuals and families. STEP 1 - Individuals swabbed Individuals are swabbed either by a trained staff member or a healthcare professional

STEP 2 - Test each swab Each swab is applied to a test card or cassette by a trained staff member or healthcare professional

STEP 3 - Get results

Results are interpreted and recorded by a trained staff member or healthcare professional. They can be used to make more informed decisions, detect the virus, and mitigate spread



A



Test Result: Negative

COVID-19 Ag CARD

RAPID ANTIGEN: USE FOR POOL DECONVOLUTION

Given the speed, accuracy, price and widespread availability, some schools are using **rapid antigen testing as a way for recommended follow-up testing** to support the pooled test program



When compared to PCR tests, BinaxNOW is accurate in detecting COVID-19 in children with moderate to high viral loads, even if they are asymptomatic.

These children are the most likely to be highly contagious and transmit the disease.





WHO PROVIDES TESTING: LAB FOOTPRINT FOR SCALING K-12 TESTING



Note: Lab coverage areas are approximate within national map. Additional coverage possible through public health and university labs



WHAT WILL IT COST: ALL-IN COST ESTIMATES AND ASSUMPTIONS

ALL-IN COST PARAMETERS

\$30 per person for Step 1: asymptomatic testing

\$10-15 per person: pooled PCR or antigen tests \$15-20 per person: school administrative costs

\$60 per person for Step 2: positive pool follow up

\$40-50 per person: individual PCR or antigen \$5-10 per person: school administrative costs

ASSUMPTIONS

Per person testing costs, including school administrative costs, are estimated from current operations in school testing programs



Assumption that ~1% of pools are positive and require deconvolution / Step 2 testing



K-12 NATIONAL TESTING ACTION PROGRAM: IMPLEMENTATION LOGISTICS



MINIMUM STANDARDS FOR PROGRAM SUCCESS

	STANDARDS FOR SUCCESS	
A. School and community alignment and engagement	D. Resources to administer and process tests efficiently and effectively	G. Regulatory support
B. 'Right test, right place, right time'	E. Clear public health guidance and resources for every stage of the process	H. Ensuring equity and broad access
C. Necessary supply of tests, supplies and other resources	F. Reporting and data systems	

Minimum standards help ensure quality testing programs are available to all students, teachers and all staff, while still allowing the schools flexibility to design and customize their programs based on their unique context and needs



PROGRAM MINIMUM STANDARDS (1 OF 2)

A. School and community alignment, engagement and education

 School committees, superintendents, teachers, unions and families should understand the goals of the testing program and how it helps to safely and sustainably reopen

B. "Right test, right place, right time" (pooling or individual testing strategy)

- A standardized menu of testing protocols and workflows should be designed for schools that offer 24-hour results to test takers
- Testing programs should screen students and staff at a frequency that prevents outbreaks and enables the quarantining of individuals who
 test positive

C. Necessary supply of tests, supplies and other resources

- A stable and reliable supply of tests with a consistent process and result reporting should be available
- Fulfilling school demand nationally requires multiple types and sources of tests

D. Resources to administer testing programs efficiently and effectively

- Testing service providers should manage procurement, contracting and onboarding with individual districts and schools
- Testing playbooks and toolkits can explain how to roll out and manage a testing program at the district or school level
- Testing lead or coordinator should be appointed for each school; potentially a school nurse, school administrator, district administrator, or USPHS Commissioned Corps

E. Clear public health guidance and educational resources for every stage of the process

- Easy-to-understand rationale for and explanation of testing protocol is critical
- Situations that necessitate confirmatory tests require some guidance
- There should be an explanation of how schools and families should react to a positive case in school or in household
- Protocol for quarantine and return-to-school should be published



PROGRAM MINIMUM STANDARDS (1 OF 2)

F. Reporting and data systems

- Aggregate pool results should be reported to school, parents and student; tracking should be facilitated by online secure software products
- Any positive individual diagnostic results whether antigen or molecular -- should be reported to local public health authorities per existing public health laws and facilitated by HIPPA-compliant software products
- Test results are intended to keep school open and are not aimed at individual diagnostics

G. Regulatory support

- Standard consent for adults and students is necessary, including release of personal health data as appropriate
- A quick and easy option is to have schools designated as CLIA sites for POC testing when relevant
- It can be useful to have a standing physician order to authorize individual diagnostic tests under school testing protocol

H. Ensuring equity and broad access

- All public schools and districts are encouraged to take participate in program
- Testing programs should be offered at no cost to schools or participants or families
- State and local customization can provide varying levels of support for school districts with differing needs
- Test demand forecast can be created on objective factors (# students, ages, geographic densities et. al.)



WHAT DO SCHOOLS DO: RUBBER HITS THE ROAD – OPERATION OVERVIEW

There are 4 key steps to executing K-12 NTAP, but each component within the key steps must be designed and executed based on individual needs of the school

Key steps									
1) Coordination & administration	2) Testing modality selection	3) Facility set-up	4) Results reporting						
 Establish key positions & roles Hire, train and build partnerships Receive authorization and registration for testing 	 Choose testing partner(s) Finalize protocols for Step 1 Asymptomatic Screening and Step 2 Follow-up Testing for positive pods Decide on Initiation Testing 	 Set-up on-site, centralized, decentralized or other physical models 	 Decide reporting strategy Establish procedures for reporting positive results and confirmatory testing 						
	— Considerations for tailor	ing design and execution							
\frown	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		A						



Student population needs (age, special needs, etc.)

Access to approved contracts and vendors

Proximity to labs



Human and financial resources



Number of students, teachers and staff



WHAT DO SCHOOLS DO: COORDINATION AND ADMINISTRATION

Successful implementation, coordination and administration requires that schools fill staff positions prior to launching K-12 NTAP; schools can choose to hire additional employees, partner with external stakeholders, and/or train existing staff for these roles

	Positions nece	essary to implem	ent K-12 NTAP		A combination of hiring, training and partnerships can be used for these positions. Options include:				
	Overse verify o	Coordinator(s) The the daily operation authorization/conso registration	ons and ent and		E Hire temporary employees	Partner with external stakeholders (e.g., Commissioned Corps or health professionals)	Train existing staff		
Ushers Direct students, teachers and staff to testing locations	Test Administrators Collect or oversee sample collection	Logistics Leads Ship collected samples to labs and maintain shipping records	Quality Controllers Manage safe testing environment and disposal of biohazards	Results Manager Ensure all results are promptly shared with key stakeholders	Example: Watert collaborated to a ✓ School nurse ✓ School nurse ✓ School nurse ✓ School nurse	cown Public Schools, Broad Institute administer individual testing for high es championed, coordinated, and administ es provided in-house training for ancillary s from leveraging in-house resources	e and CIC Health h need students ered testing support		

Depending on the position being filled and experience of the individual, specific trainings and certifications may be needed



WHAT DO SCHOOLS DO: SETTING UP COLLECTION FACILITIES

To maintain quality and consistency, on-site collection (e.g., at schools, local pop-up labs, etc.) is preferred to at-home collection. School's can tailor their on-site collection set up based on size, resource availability and testing modality

Set-up options

Centralized (walk-up)

Examples: Gym, cafeteria When it works well:

- ✓ High-volume testing
- ✓ Limited testers available
- Test technologies that require more equipment or storage

Challenges:

 Space may already be in use for other school activities and social distancing needs

Decentralized

Examples: Individual classrooms When it works well:

- ✓ Homeroom or pod model
- Minimizes day-to-day disruptions for younger students (more familiar to them)

Challenges

- ✓ Staff capacity
- Transporting PPE and supplies to each location (e.g., hazard waste removal)

Alternative collection approaches

At-home collection may be used when the individuals are already showing Covid-19 symptoms or when a school lacks the staff needed to test the volume of students necessary

Off-premise testing at pharmacy or other thirdparty location may be used when individuals prefer their primary care provider to testing in school

Centralized (drive-thru)

Examples: Parking lot, drop-off zones

 \checkmark Areas with high community

✓ For testing one-time visitors,

substitute teachers, etc.

prevalence (limits potentially

infected individuals from entering

✓ Sufficient physical space may not be

When it works well:

common areas)

Challenges:

available

Example: Washington International School and UnitedHealth Group collaborated to perform weekly pooled rapid testing

- Centralizing sample collection to make it easy was the key to success
- Using a phased approach allowed continuous improvement in sample collection methods

Photo Credit: Natasha Bhalla



WHAT DO SCHOOLS DO: RESULTS REPORTING

Regular and prompt reporting of results to testing participants and their families, schools and school districts and the state and federal government is critical to maintaining safe school environment and overall public health

What to report on

Results to students, teachers and staff



PCR pool test results / antigen test results Clearly and promptly provide test results as soon as they are available



Quarantine and isolation guidance

Reshare quarantining and isolation guidelines when positive pools/individual tests are identified

School positivity rates and trends

Regularly share updates to the school community on the total number of cases and positivity trends to maintain trust

Results to local, state and federal government



Positive cases

Provide regular updates on the total number cases and positivity trends at school or school district level (according to state and federal guidelines)

Tools and technologies to facilitate reporting

- **Centralized information platform** to store data with the ability to remove PII and aggregate at school district level as needed per FERPA and HIPAA guidelines
- Website and/or text messaging options to share results as soon as they are available
- **Inquiry form** to get status updates if the test results are not available in the expected timeframe

Example: Washington International School and UnitedHealth Group collaborated to perform weekly pooled rapid testing

- Use cloud-based information management system to track results and communication
- Report results to parents, staff and students within 40 minutes
- Created list of easily accessible FAQs about testing, operations, data security and safety



SCHOOL OPERATIONS IN ACTION: SCHOOL NURSE PERSPECTIVE



provided to parents in positive pools

Nurse Jamie is the head nurse at a high school in a city supporting the school principal and the district superintendent as they adopt the K-12 NTAP. She firmly believes that both students and teachers should be tested regularly if the school is to reopen for in-person learning



The ROCKEFELLER FOUNDATION HEALTH CATALYSTS GROUP 47

SCHOOL OPERATIONS IN ACTION: STUDENT PERSPECTIVE



Molly's school has worked hard to implement the K-12 NTAP program, which is a national testing strategy that allows teachers and students to safely come back to school in-person. She can hardly contain her excitement to start school this coming week because she will finally be able to see her friends and teachers in-person





necessary time

WHO IS NEEDED: BUILDING CONFIDENCE FOR PARTICIPANTS

Wide-spread adoption and participation relies on building confidence for participants by understanding their priorities and concerns. State and local leadership must proactively reassure and address the concerns of stakeholders

	Superintendents	Teachers and staff	Parents	Students
Priorities and Concerns	 All willing individuals are getting tested Results are obtained quickly Payments and funding vehicles are in place 	 Keeping themselves and students safe Preparing for when someone gets sick in school Missing work when they test positive Data privacy Test collection safety 	 Safety of children Testing without parent/guardian present Perceived cost and time of confirmatory test if applicable Data privacy Test collection safety 	 Fear of getting the swab Test collection safety Stigma or lost school time linked to positive results
Actions to Build Confidence	 Set frequency and sensitivity standards Provide easy-to-use consent forms Provide central POC to ask questions 	 Provide guidance on isolation and other mitigation strategies Bring in trained people to administer tests Be transparent about data privacy and use 	 Provide information on getting confirmatory tests Make consent forms easy to understand Provide results quickly Be transparent about data privacy and use 	 Make the testing process as easy as possible to reduce stress and anxiety Provide guidance on what do you if you're feeling sick

*Note: The Testing for America K-12 Playbook provides a tactical communications plan to engage stakeholders including who is responsible for the communication



CONTACT INFORMATION (1 OF 3)

	Testing Technology				Experience and Readiness							
Company	HQ	Contact Name	E-mail	National Reach?	Regional Reach	PCR - pooled	PCR - individual	Antigen without instrument	Antigen with instrument	Experience with K-12 Testing	Own lab or partner lab?	Ready to start testing?
				Yes / No	State or Region	Yes / No	Yes / No	Yes / No	Yes / No	Yes / No	Own / Partner	Yes / No
BD (BD Veritor)	IJ	TJ Salerno; Jeff Eisinger	<u>thomas.salerno@bd.co</u> <u>m;</u> jeff.eisinger@bd.com	Yes	West Region; East Region	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Partner	Yes
BioReference Laboratories	NJ	Ellen Beausang	<u>ebeausang@bioreferen</u> <u>ce.com</u>	Yes	All	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Own	Yes
CIC Health	Cambridge, MA	Lindsay Clark	<u>clark@cic.com</u>	Yes	All	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Broad Institute	Yes
Color	Burlingame, CA	Caroline Savello	caroline@color.com	Yes	All	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Both	Yes
Exact Sciences	Madison, WI	Melanie Hayward	mhayward@exactscien ces.com	Yes	Midwest	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Own	Yes
GENETWORX	Glen Allen, VA	Maryrose Roberts	mroberts@recoverycoa .com	Yes	All	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Own	Yes
Ginkgo BioWorks	Boston, MA	Matt McKnight	mmcknight@ginkgobio works.com	Yes	All	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Partner	Yes
Helix	San Mateo, CA	Ed MacBean	<u>edgar.macbean@helix.</u> <u>com</u>	Yes	All	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Own	Yes

Disclaimer and Limitation of Liability

The information provided is for informational purposes only and is not intended as an endorsement, guidance, recommendations, or advice for any particular product. The Rockefeller Foundation expressly disclaims and assumes no responsibility for any losses, damages, claims, or other liabilities arising out of or relating to use of this information. It is expressly understood that The Rockefeller Foundation, by providing this information, has no obligation to update the information or provide additional support or information to the recipient.



CONTACT INFORMATION (2 OF 3)

	Testing Technology				Experience and Readiness							
Company	HQ	Contact Name	E-mail	National Reach?	Regional Reach	PCR - pooled	PCR - individual	Antigen without instrument	Antigen with instrument	Experience with K-12 Testing	Own lab or partner lab?	Ready to start testing?
				Yes / No	State or Region	Yes / No	Yes / No	Yes / No	Yes / No	Yes / No	Own / Partner	Yes / No
LabCorp	Burlington, NC	Kevin DeAngelo	deangek@labcorp.com	Yes	All	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Own	Yes
PathGroup	Brentwood, TN	Richard Halstead; Brent Sower	rhalstead@pathgroup.c om; bsower@pathgroup.co <u>m</u>	Yes	All	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Own	Yes
Quest Diagnostics	Secaucus, NJ	Stacia Rivello	stacia.x.rivello@questdi agnostics.com	Yes	All	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Own	Yes
Quidel Corporation	San Diego, CA	Patrick Sweeney; Rick Graham	<u>patrick.sweeney@quid</u> <u>el.com;</u> <u>rick.graham@quidel.co</u> <u>m</u>	Yes	All	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Partner	Yes
Sonic Healthcare USA - Clinical Pathology Laboratories	Austin. TX	Tony Jones	tiones@cpllabs.com	Yes	TX, LA, OK, NV, NM	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Own	Yes
Sonic Healthcare USA - Clinical Labs of Hawaii	Honolulu, HI	Michele Cox	michele.cox@hawaiilabs. com	Yes	н	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Own	Yes
Sonic Healthcare USA - American Esoteric Laboratories	Memphis, TN	David Smalley, PhD	dlsmalley@ael.com	Yes	TN, MO, AR, MS	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Own	Yes

Disclaimer and Limitation of Liability

The information provided is for informational purposes only and is not intended as an endorsement, guidance, recommendations, or advice for any particular product. The Rockefeller Foundation expressly disclaims and assumes no responsibility for any losses, damages, claims, or other liabilities arising out of or relating to use of this information. It is expressly understood that The Rockefeller Foundation, by providing this information, has no obligation to update the information or provide additional support or information to the recipient.



CONTACT INFORMATION (3 OF 3)

	Testing Technology				Experience and Readiness							
Company	HQ	Contact Name	E-mail	National Reach?	Regional Reach	PCR - pooled	PCR - individual	Antigen without instrument	Antigen with instrument	Experience with K-12 Testing	Own lab or partner lab?	Ready to start testing?
				Yes / No	State or Region	Yes / No	Yes / No	Yes / No	Yes / No	Yes / No	Own / Partner	Yes / No
Sonic Healthcare USA -												
Sunrise Medical		Alan	agreenberg@sunriselab.		NY, CT, DC,							
Laboratories	Hicksville, NY	Greenberg	<u>com</u>	Yes	MD, VA	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Own	Yes
Sonic Healthcare USA -	Santa Fe	Joel Bentz,										
West Pac Laboratories	Springs, CA	MD	jbentz@westpaclab.com	Yes	CA	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Own	Yes
Sonic Healthcare USA -												
Eastside Clinical		Gary										
Laboratories	Providence, RI	Sammarco	gary@esclab.com	Yes	RI, MA	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Own	Yes
Sonic Healthcare USA -												
Bernhardt Laboratory	Jacksonville, FL	Bruce Walton	bwalton@auroradx.com	Yes	FL	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Own	Yes
Sonic Healthcare USA -												
Laboratory Medicine												
Consultants	Las Vegas, NV	Bruce Walton	bwalton@auroradx.com	Yes	NV	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Own	Yes
Sonic Healthcare USA -												
Seacoast Pathology	Exeter, NH	Bruce Walton	bwalton@auroradx.com	Yes	VT, NH	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Own	Yes
Sonic Healthcare USA -												
Greensboro Pathology	Greensboro,											
Associates	NC	Bruce Walton	bwalton@auroradx.com	Yes	NC	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Own	Yes
Sonora Quest	Phoenix, AZ	Sonya	sonya.engle@sonoraqu	No	Arizona	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Own	Yes
Laboratories /		Engle;	<u>est.com;</u>									
Laboratory Sciences of		Tom	tom.leggett@sonoraqu									
Arizona		Leggett	<u>est.com</u>									
Veritas Genetics	Danvers, MA	Mirza Cifric	mcifric@veritasgenetic s.com	Yes	All	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Both	Yes

Disclaimer and Limitation of Liability

The information provided is for informational purposes only and is not intended as an endorsement, guidance, recommendations, or advice for any particular product. The Rockefeller Foundation expressly disclaims and assumes no responsibility for any losses, damages, claims, or other liabilities arising out of or relating to use of this information. It is expressly understood that The Rockefeller Foundation, by providing this information, has no RockeFeller Foundation in the Roc obligation to update the information or provide additional support or information to the recipient.



APPENDIX AND REFERENCES



CDC RECOMMENDS SCREENING TESTING TO REDUCE TRANSMISSION

"Viral testing strategies in partnership with schools should be part of a comprehensive prevention approach. Screening testing is intended to identify infected people without symptoms...to prevent further transmission." – CDC Guidelines

	CDC Recommendations for Screening Testing									
Community transmission	Students	Teachers	Athletics							
Low (~30% of states) In last 7 days, 0-9 new cases per 100K, <5% positive tests	No screening testing	At least once per week	At least once per week							
Moderate (~70% of states) In last 7 days, 10-49 new cases per 100K, 5-7.9% positive tests	At least once per week	At least once per week	At least once per week							
Substantial or High (~0% of states) In last 7 days, 50+ new cases per 100K, 8%+ positive tests	At least once per week	At least once per week	 Twice per week for high-risk sports, at least once per week for all others 							

Given the **CDC recommends screening testing in schools in most instances**, it is critical to establish a program that is **easy to administer** and **requires minimal additional resources** from schools



BUSINESS AND HEALTH LEADERS SUPPORT SCHOOL REOPENING

- Dr. Rajiv J. Shah and Randi Weingarten. "With robust testing, we can open schools this spring before the vaccine is widely available." USA Today Op Ed. January 24, 2021. Retrieved from https://www.usatoday.com/story/opinion/2021/01/24/re-opening-schools-precautions-and-testing-column/6661567002/
- Amy Falk, Alison Benda, Peter Falk, Sarah Steffen, Zachary Wallace, Tracy Beth Høeg, "Covid-19 Cases and Transmission in 17 K–12 Schools Wood County, Wisconsin, August 31–November 29, 2020." Centers for Disease Control. January 26, 2021. Retrieved from https://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/volumes/70/wr/mm7004e3.htm?s_cid=mm7004e3_w
- Sophie Tatum. "Biden administration's Covid-19 plan prioritizes schools reopening." ABS News. January 26, 2021. Retrieved from https://abcnews.go.com/Politics/biden-administrations-covid-19-plan-prioritizes-schools-reopening/story?id=75427211
- "Covid-19: C.D.C. Says Its Safe to Reopen Schools, With Precautions." New York Times. January 27, 2021. Retrieved from https://www.nytimes.com/live/2021/01/26/world/covid-19-coronavirus
- Lauren Camera. "More Evidence Suggests In-Person School is Safe, as Long as Virus Is Controlled." U.S. News. January 5, 2021. Retrieved from <u>https://www.usnews.com/news/education-news/articles/2021-01-05/more-evidence-suggests-in-person-school-is-safe-as-long-as-virus-is-controlled</u>
- Cory Turner. "Where Is It Safe To Reopen Schools? New Research Offers Answers." NPR. January 7, 2021. Retrieved from https://www.npr.org/sections/coronavirus-live-updates/2021/01/07/953961009/where-is-it-safe-to-reopen-schools-new-research-offers-answers
- World Health Organization. "Checklist to support schools re-opening and preparation for Covid-19 resurgences or similar public health crises." (n.d.). Retrieved from https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789240017467
- McKinsey & Company. "How schools can reopen during the Covid-19 crisis". (n.d.). Retrieved from <u>https://www.mckinsey.com/about-us/covid-response-center/leadership-mindsets/webinars/how-schools-can-reopen-during-the-covid-19-crisis</u>
- VDH Covid-19 Update: Health leaders push for school opening. (2020, August 04). Retrieved from https://vermontbiz.com/news/2020/august/04/vdh-covid-19-update-health-leaders-push-school-opening
- Sampat, S., Secretariat, G., & Clark, J. (2020, May 04). 3 recommendations to support school leaders during the coronavirus pandemic. Retrieved from https://www.globalpartnership.org/blog/3-recommendations-support-school-leaders-during-coronavirus-pandemic
- Vegas, E., & Winthrop, R. (2020, October 23). Beyond reopening schools: How education can emerge stronger than before Covid-19. Retrieved from <u>https://www.brookings.edu/research/beyond-reopening-schools-how-education-can-emerge-stronger-than-before-covid-19/</u>
- Pinkham, E., Newsroom, S., & Martin, W. (2020, September 18). Salesforce Announces Work.com For Schools and \$20 Million to Help Schools Reopen Safely and Support Student Learning Anywhere. Retrieved from https://www.salesforce.com/news/press-releases/2020/08/11/salesforce-announces-work-com-for-schools-and-20-million-to-help-schools-reopen-safely-and-support-student-learning-anywhere/
- Education Response to Covid-19. (2020, July 01). Retrieved from <u>https://gbc-education.org/covid19/</u>



REFERENCES (1 OF 3)

- Adapted from the EPIS Framework, in Aarons GA, Hurlburt M, Horwitz SM. Advancing a conceptual model of evidence-based practice implementation in public service sectors. Adm Policy Ment Health. 2011 Jan;38(1):4-23. doi: 10.1007/s10488-010-0327-7.
- American Dental Association. Health Centers During COVID. Retrieved from <u>https://www.ada.org/en/publications/ada-news/2020-archive/march/hrsa-updates-faqs-for-health-centers-during-covid-19</u>
- Anya Kamenetz et al., "Enrollment is dropping in public schools around the country," National Public Radio, October 9, 2020, npr.org.
- Babvey P., Capela F., Cappa C., Lipizzi C., Petrowski N., Ramirez-Marquez J. Using social media data for assessing children's exposure to violence during the Covid-19 pandemic. Child Abuse & Neglect. 2020 <u>www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0145213420304026#bib0095</u>
- Baron E.J., Goldstein E.G., Wallace C.T. Suffering in silence: How Covid-19 school closures inhibit the reporting of child maltreatment. Journal of Public Economics. 2020;190 doi: 10.1016/j.jpubeco.2020.104258. <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC7441889/</u>
- Bateman, N. (2020, July 08). Working parents are key to Covid-19 recovery. Retrieved from <u>https://www.brookings.edu/research/working-parents-are-key-to-covid-19-recovery/</u>
- Blad, E. (2021, January 19). Biden Calls for \$130 Billion in New K-12 Relief, Scaled Up Testing, Vaccination Efforts. Retrieved from https://www.edweek.org/policy-politics/biden-call-for-130-billion-in-new-k-12-relief-scaled-up-testing-vaccination-efforts/2021/01
- CDPH: Site Setup. (n.d.). Retrieved from https://www.color.com/cdph-site-setup
- Census profile: New Jersey. (n.d.). Retrieved from https://secure-web.cisco.com/
- Center for Devices and Radiological Health. (n.d.). FAQs on Testing for SARS-CoV-2. Retrieved from https://www.fda.gov/medical-devices/coronavirus-covid-19-and-medical-devices/faqs-testing-sars-cov-2
- Clinical Laboratory Improvement Amendments (CLIA). (n.d.). Retrieved from <u>https://www.cms.gov/Regulations-and-Guidance/Legislation/CLIA</u>
- Child Nutrition Programs. (n.d.). Retrieved from <u>https://www.ers.usda.gov/topics/food-nutrition-assistance/child-nutrition-programs/</u>
- Covid-19 school closures most harm students from poorest neighborhoods. (2021, January 5). Retrieved from <u>Covid-19 school closures most harm students from</u> poorest neighborhoods | YaleNews
- Covid-19 Trends Among School-Aged Children United States, March 1–September 19, 2020. (2020, December 31). Retrieved from https://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/volumes/69/wr/mm6939e2.htm?s_cid=mm6939e2_w
- Cook, C. (2020, December 19). Why British Kids Went Back to School, and American Kids Did Not. Retrieved from https://www.theatlantic.com/ideas/archive/2020/12/why-british-kids-are-school-american-kids-arent/617438/
- Diliberti, Melissa and Julia H. Kaufman, Will This School Year Be Another Casualty of the Pandemic? Key Findings from the American Educator Panels Fall 2020 Covid-19 Surveys. Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International Public License, 2020. <u>https://www.rand.org/pubs/research_reports/RRA168-4.html</u>
- Diliberti, M.K., Schwartz, H.L., Grant, D.M. (forthcoming). Stress Tops the Reasons Public School Teachers Quit Before and During Covid-19. Santa Monica, CA: RAND Corporation. RR-A1121-2.



REFERENCES (2 OF 3)

- Dimitri A. Christakis, M. (2020, November 12). Estimation of Years of Life Lost Associated With School Closures During Covid-19. Retrieved from https://jamanetwork.com/journals/jamanetworkopen/fullarticle/2772834
- Edward Lempinen | July 22, 2. 3., & Lempinen, E. (2020, July 30). California child care system collapsing under Covid-19, Berkeley report says. Retrieved from <u>https://news.berkeley.edu/2020/07/22/california-child-care-system-collapsing-under-covid-19-berkeley-report-says/</u>
- Evans, M. L., Lindauer, M., & Farrell, M. E. (2020). A pandemic within a pandemic—Intimate partner violence during Covid-19. New England journal of medicine, 383(24), 2302-2304. <u>https://www.nejm.org/doi/full/10.1056/NEJMp2024046</u>
- EWA News. Biden Calls for \$130 Billion in New K-12 Relief, Scaled Up Testing, Vaccination Efforts. (2021, January 15). Retrieved from https://www.ewa.org/latest-news/biden-calls-130-billion-new-k-12-relief-scaled-testing-vaccination-efforts
- Faherty, LJ; Master, B; et al. Covid-19 Testing in K-12 Schools: Insights from Early Adopters. Santa Monica, CA: RAND Corporation, 2021. <u>https://www.rand.org/pubs/working_papers/</u> WRA1103-1.html.
- Hellmann, J. (2021, January 21). Biden releases national Covid-19 strategy, will order agencies to use Defense Production Act. Retrieved from <u>https://thehill.com/policy/healthcare/535157-biden-to-order-agencies-to-use-defense-production-act-in-coronavirus-fight</u>
- Hyde, B., Verma, P., & Berke, E. M. (2021, January 01). Pooled Sample Testing for SARS-CoV-2 Using Rapid RT-PCR Covid-19 Tests. Retrieved from <u>https://www.medrxiv.org/content/10.1101/2021.01.22.21250339v1</u>
- Impact of Opening and Closing Decisions by State: A look at how social distancing measures may have influenced trends in Covid-19 cases and deaths. (Last Updated 2021, January 29). Retrieved from https://coronavirus.jhu.edu/data/state-timeline/new-confirmed-cases/new-jersey
- Kuhfeld, M., Soland, J., Tarasawa, B., Johnson, A., Ruzek, E., & Liu, J. (2020). Projecting the potential impacts of Covid-19 school closures on academic achievement.
- Lawson M., Piel M.H., Simon M. Child maltreatment during the Covid-19 pandemic: Consequences of parental job loss on psychological and physical abuse towards children. Child Abuse & Neglect. 2020 <u>www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0145213420303641</u>
- Learning from a Distance: How Remote Learning Can Set Low-Income Students Back Further. (2020, November 04). Retrieved from https://www.rti.org/insights/how-remote-learning-impacts-low-income-students-covid-19
- Lois McCloskey, Ndidiamaka Amutah-Onukagha, Judith Bernstein, Arden Handler. (2021) Setting the Agenda for Reproductive and Maternal Health in the Era of Covid-19: Lessons from a Cruel and Radical Teacher. Maternal and Child Health Journal 29. <u>https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s10995-020-03033-y</u>
- Mandavilli, A. (2020, July 15). Citing Educational Risks, Scientific Panel Urges That Schools Reopen. https://www.nytimes.com/2020/07/15/health/coronavirus-schools-reopening.html#:~:text=the main story-,Citing Educational Risks, Scientific Panel Urges That Schools Reopen, of Science, Engineering and Medicine.
- May Schools Disclose Information about Cases of Covid-19? (2020, October 05). Retrieved from https://blog.ed.gov/2020/09/may-schools-disclose-information-cases-covid-19/
- Missing in the Margins: Estimating the Scale of the Covid-19 Attendance Crisis. (2020, October 22). Retrieved from https://bellwethereducation.org/publication/missing-margins-estimating-scale-covid-19-attendance-crisis



REFERENCES (3 OF 3)

- New Data: Over 3,000 National Board Certified Teachers share how teaching has changed during the Covid-19 crisis. (n.d.). Retrieved from https://www.nbpts.org/newsroom/new-data-over-3000-national-board-certified-teachers-share-how-teaching-has-changed-during-the-covid-19-crisis/
- Panel Survey. Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International Public License, 2020. <u>https://www.rand.org/pubs/research_reports/RRA956-1.html</u>.
- Projecting the Potential Impact of Covid-19 School Closures on Academic Achievement (2020, October 29). Retrieved from Projecting the Potential Impact of Covid-19 School Closures on Academic Achievement (aera.net)
- Psacharopoulos, G., Patrinos, H., Collis, V., & Vegas, E. (2020, April 29). The Covid-19 cost of school closures. Retrieved from https://www.brookings.edu/blog/education-plus-development/2020/04/29/the-covid-19-cost-of-school-closures/#:~:text=Extrapolating to the global level, result of school closures today.
- Rapoport, E., Reisert, H., Schoeman, E., & Adesman, A. (2020). Reporting of child maltreatment during the SARS-CoV-2 pandemic in New York City from March to May 2020. Child Abuse & Neglect, 104719.
- Schools and the Path to Zero: Strategies for Pandemic Resilience in the Face of High Community Spread. (December 21, 2020). Retrieved from https://www.onalaska.k12.wi.us/cms_files/resources/SchoolsandthePathtoZero.pdf
- Schneider, A., Hsu, A., & Horsley, S. (2020, October 02). Multiple Demands Causing Women To Abandon Workforce. Retrieved from <u>https://www.npr.org/sections/coronavirus-live-updates/2020/10/02/919517914/enough-already-multiple-demands-causing-women-to-abandon-workforce</u>
- Schwartz, Heather L., David Grant, Melissa Diliberti, Gerald P. Hunter, and Claude Messan Setodji, Remote Learning Is Here to Stay: Results from the First American School District
- Shah, D. R., & Weingarten, R. (2021, January 24). With robust testing, we can open schools this spring before the vaccine is widely available. Retrieved from https://www.usatoday.com/story/opinion/2021/01/24/re-opening-schools-precautions-and-testing-column/6661567002/
- Shi, D. (2020, October 29). This is the mental health impact on young adults from erratic school closures. Retrieved from https://www.fastcompany.com/90569518/this-is-the-mental-health-impact-on-young-adults-from-erratic-school-closures
- The American Journal of Public Health (AJPH) from the American Public Health Association (APHA) publications. (n.d.). Retrieved from <u>https://ajph.aphapublications.org/doi/full/10.2105/AJPH.2020.305875?af=R</u>
- The Broad Institute. Validation of pooled nasal swab testing for SARS-CoV2Surveillance (2021, January). U. (n.d.). United in Research. Retrieved from https://calculator.unitedinresearch.com/complex_dashboard
- The Impact of Covid-19 on Working Parents. (n.d.). Retrieved from https://www.catalyst.org/research/impact-covid-working-parents/
- The Lancet: Mental health effects of school closures during Covid-19. Retrieved from https://www.thelancet.com/action/showPdf?pii=S2352-4642%2820%2930109-7
- Total population by state (n.d). Retrieved from <u>https://censusreporter.org/profiles/04000US34-new-jersey/</u>
- Wellesley. "Viral Testing Information":. Retrieved from <u>https://wellesleyps.org/viral-testing/</u>
- Wellesley. "Covid-19 Testing in K-12 Brochure":. Retrieved from <u>https://wellesleyps.org/viral-testing/</u>



GUIDING PRINCIPLES FOR K-12 NTAP DEVELOPMENT

The K-12 NTAP team operates by a set of core principles which have guided the design and implementation of the program:



Think Big but Design Small – Standardize guidance to be widely applicable and scalable yet also tailorable for state-level coordination and school or district-level implementation



Provide Clear, Simple and Realistic Guidelines – Encourage use and adoption by making the guidelines easy to follow and proactively identifying ways to reduce barriers



Be Reasonably Comprehensive – Provide a common platform to understand the minimum requirements for K-12 testing at a broad level to enable the return of in-person learning



Be Focused – Prioritize testing solutions and strategies. Other mitigation strategies are assumed but secondary to this program (e.g., vaccine distribution, masking, physical distancing)



Enable Agility – Develop a plan that is practical and sustainable, while acknowledging the dynamic environment and likely necessity of real-time adaptation



Build Capacity – Identify ways meet demand for K-12 testing without diminishing existing testing capacity



Minimize Burden – Minimize burden on schools and families throughout the process to increase adoption



QUESTIONS AND FOLLOW UP

Mara G. Aspinall Advisor to The Rockefeller Foundation Managing Director, Health Catalysts Group mara.aspinall@healthcatalysts.com 520-848-7444 Direct 617-620-3314 Mobile

Academic Affiliation Professor of Practice, Biomedical Diagnostics Arizona State University <u>mara.aspinall@ASU.edu</u>

Disclaimer and Limitation of Liability

The information provided is for informational purposes only and is not intended as an endorsement, guidance, recommendation, or advice for any particular product or vendor. The Rockefeller Foundation and Health Catalysts Group expressly disclaim and assume no responsibility for any losses, damages, claims, or other liabilities arising out of or relating to use of this information. It is expressly understood that The Rockefeller Foundation and Health Catalysts Group, by providing this information, have no obligation to update the information or provide additional support or information to the recipient.





rockefellerfoundation.org